The Post-colonial Studies Reader

Edited by

Bill Ashcroft, Gareth Griffiths and Helen Tiffin

Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o

New York

14 February 1995

London and New York
On the Abolition of the English Department

NGUGI WA THIONG’O*

1. This is a comment on the paper presented by the Acting Head of the English Department at the University of Nairobi to the 42nd meeting of the Arts Faculty Board on the 20th September, 1968.

2. a) That paper was mainly concerned with possible developments within the Arts Faculty and their relationship with the English Department, particularly:
   i) The place of modern languages, especially French;
   ii) The place and role of the Department of English;
   iii) The emergence of a Department of Linguistics and Languages;
   iv) The place of African languages, especially Swahili.

b) In connection with the above, the paper specifically suggested that a department of Linguistics and Languages, to be closely related to English, be established.

c) A remote possibility of a Department of African literature, or alternatively, that of African literature and culture, was envisaged.

3. The paper raised important problems. It should have been the subject of a more involved debate and discussion, preceding the appointment of a committee with specific tasks, because it raises questions of value, direction and orientation.

4. For instance, the suggestions, as the paper itself admits, question the role and status of an English Department in an African situation and environment. To quote from his paper:

The English Department has had a long history at this College and has built up a strong syllabus which by its study of the historic continuity of a single culture throughout the period of emergence of the modern west, makes it an important companion to History and to Philosophy and Religious Studies. However, it is bound to become less ‘British’, more
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open to other writing in English (American, Caribbean, African, Commonwealth) and also to continental writing, for comparative purposes.

5. Underlying the suggestions is a basic assumption that the English tradition and the emergence of the modern west is the central root of our consciousness and cultural heritage. Africa becomes an extension of the west, an attitude which, until a radical reassessment, used to dictate the teaching and organization of History in our University. Hence, in fact, the assumed centrality of the English Department, into which other cultures can be admitted from time to time, as fit subjects for study, or from which other satellite departments can spring as time and money allow. A small example is the current, rather apologetic attempt to smuggle African writing into an English syllabus in our three colleges.

6. Here then, is our main question: If there is need for a ‘study of the historic continuity of a single culture’, why can’t this be African? Why can’t African literature be at the centre so that we can view other cultures in relationship to it?

This is not mere rhetoric: already African writing, with the sister connections in the Caribbean and the Afro-American literatures, has played an important role in the African renaissance, and will become even more and more important with time and pressure of events. Just because for reasons of political expediency we have kept English as our official language, there is no need to substitute a study of English culture for our own. We reject the primacy of English literature and culture.

7. The aim, in short, should be to orientate ourselves towards placing Kenya, East Africa, and then Africa in the centre. All other things are to be considered in their relevance to our situation, and their contribution towards understanding ourselves.

8. We therefore suggest:
   A. That the English Department be abolished;
   B. That a Department of African Literature and Languages be set up in its place.

The primary duty of any literature department is to illuminate the spirit animating a people, to show how it meets new challenges, and to investigate possible areas of development and involvement.

In suggesting this name, we are not rejecting other cultural streams, especially the western stream. We are only clearly mapping out the directions and perspectives the study of culture and literature will inevitably take in an African university.

9. We know that European literatures constitute one source of influence on modern African literatures in English, French, and Portuguese; Swahili, Arabic, and Asian literatures constitute another, an important
source, especially here in East Africa; and the African tradition, a
tradition as active and alive as ever, constitutes the third and the most
significant. This is the stuff on which we grew up, and it is the base
from which we make our cultural take-off into the world.

10. Languages and linguistics should be studied in the department because
in literature we see the principles of languages and linguistics in action.
Conversely, through knowledge of languages and linguistics we can
get more from literature. For linguistics not to become eccentric, it
should be studied in the Department of African Literature and
Languages.

In addition to Swahili, French, and English, whenever feasible other
languages such as Arabic, Hindustani, Kikuyu, Luo, Akamba, etc.,
should be introduced into the syllabus as optional subjects.

11. On the literature side, the Department ought to offer roughly:
a) The oral tradition, which is our primary root;
b) Swahili literature (with Arabic and Asian literatures): this is another
root, especially in East Africa;
c) A selected course in European literature: yet another root;
d) Modern African literature.

For the purposes of the Department, a knowledge of Swahili
English, and French should be compulsory. The largest body of
writing by Africans is now written in the French language. Africans
writing in the French language have also produced most of the best
poems and novels. In fact it makes nonsense to talk of modern
African literature without French.

12. The Oral Tradition
The Oral tradition is rich and many-sided. In fact 'Africa is littered
with Oral Literature'. But the art did not end yesterday; it is a living
tradition. Even now there are songs being sung in political rallies, in
churches, in night clubs by guitarists, by accordion players, by dancers, etc.
Another point to be observed is the interlinked nature of art forms in
traditional practice. Verbal forms are not always distinct from dance,
music, etc. For example, in music there is close correspondence between
verbal and melodic tones; in 'metrical lyrics' it has been observed
that poetic text is inseparable from tune; and the 'folk tale' often bears
an 'operatic' form, with sung refrain as an integral part. The distinction
between prose and poetry is absent or very fluid. Though tale, dance,
song, myth, etc. can be performed for individual aesthetic enjoyment,
they have other social purposes as well. Dance, for example, has been
studied 'as symbolic expression of social reality reflecting and influencing
the social, cultural and personality systems of which it is a part'. The
oral tradition also comments on society because of its intimate relationship
and involvement.

The study of the oral tradition at the University should therefore lead
to a multi-disciplinary outlook: Literature, Music, Linguistics, Sociology,
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Anthropology, History, Psychology, Religion, Philosophy. Secondly, its study can lead to fresh approaches by making it possible for the student to be familiar with art forms different in kind and historical development from Western literary forms. Spontaneity and liberty of communication inherent in oral transmission – openness to sounds, sights, rhythms, tones, in life and in the environment – are examples of traditional elements from which the student can draw. More specifically, his familiarity with oral literature could suggest new structures and techniques; and could foster attitudes of mind characterized by the willingness to experiment with new forms, so transcending 'fixed literary patterns' and what that implies – the preconceived ranking of art forms.

The study of the Oral Tradition would therefore supplement (not replace) courses in Modern African Literature. By discovering and proclaiming loyalty to indigenous values, the new literature would on the one hand be set in the stream of history to which it belongs and so be better appreciated; and on the other be better able to embrace and assimilate other thoughts without losing its roots . . .

CONCLUSION

One of the things which has been hindering a radical outlook in our study of literature in Africa is the question of literary excellence; that only works of undisputed literary excellence should be offered. (In this case it meant virtually the study of disputable 'peaks' of English literature.) The question of literary excellence implies a value judgement as to what is literary and what is excellence, and from whose point of view. For any group it is better to study representative works which mirror their society rather than to study a few isolated 'classics', either of their own or of a foreign culture.

To sum up, we have been trying all along to place values where they belong. We have argued the case for the abolition of the present Department of English in the College, and the establishment of a Department of African Literature and Languages. This is not a change of names only. We want to establish the centrality of Africa in the department. This, we have argued, is justifiable on various grounds, the most important one being that education is a means of knowledge about ourselves. Therefore, after we have examined ourselves, we radiate outwards and discover peoples and worlds around us. With Africa at the centre of things, not existing as an appendix or a satellite of other countries and literatures, things must be seen from the African perspective. The dominant object in that perspective is African literature, the major branch of African culture. Its roots go back to past African literatures, European literatures, and Asian literatures. These can only be studied meaningfully in a Department of African Literature and Languages in an African University.
NGUGI WA THIONG'O

We ask that this paper be accepted in principle; we suggest that a representative committee be appointed to work out the details and harmonize the various suggestions into an administratively workable whole.

James Ngugi
Henry Owuor-Anyumba
Taban Lo Liyong
24th October 1968